



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,825	11/14/2003	Keith T. Deconde	061450/0306855 (FID-007-D)	3214
27498	7590	10/12/2006	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			KIM, PAUL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/714,825

Applicant(s)

DECONDE ET AL.

Examiner

Paul D. Kim

Art Unit

3729

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 40-68 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 48 and 49 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 40-47, 50-58 and 68 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 59-67 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All. b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### DETAILED ACTION

This office action is a response to the amendment filed on 6/22/2006.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 40, 42, 45, 46, 56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hassan et al. (US PAT. 5,844,287).

Hassan et al. teach a process of making a fingerprint sensor comprising steps of: providing a sensor array (such as a matrix of pressure detection microsensors, 12 as shown in Figs. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 1-2) that is fabricated upon a base (30 as shown in Fig. 4), the base including an interconnect structure (51 as shown in Fig. 6A) electrically connected to the sensor array, the sensor array having cells configured to detect the textured surface and represent different portions of the textured surface with signals corresponding thereto as shown in Fig. 2; and mounting a one or more sensor support integrated circuit devices (14, 16, 18, 20 as shown in Figs. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 4-10) upon the base, the at least one sensor support integrated circuit device electrically connected to the interconnect structure and configured to address the cells of the sensor array and process the signals obtained from the sensor array as shown in Fig. 2 (see also col. 2, line 59 to col. 7, line 39).

Re. Claim 42: Hassan et al. also teach that the sensor array is fabricated by using passive sensing cells (see col. 2-4).

Re. Claim 45: Hassan et al. also teach that the cell is configured to react to a force exerted in its responsible locality, the force the result of a fingerprint feature pressed against the sensor array in the responsible locality as shown in Fig. 4.

Re. Claim 46: Hassan et al. also teach that a button (34) is configured to receive the force from the fingerprint feature and a flexible mechanical structure (32, 36) is formed below and contacted to the button, the mechanical structure deforming due to an amount of force exerted on the button and a contacting electrode (52) is disposed on the flexible mechanical structure, the contacting electrode interfacing with a base contacting electrode (51) on the base, such that when the contacting electrode contacts the base contact electrode as a result of a sufficient amount of force, a current flow is caused upon application of an external voltage as shown in Fig. 6E.

Re. Claim 56: Each sensor support integrated circuit device is disposed along an edge of the sensor array as shown in Fig. 1.

Re. Claim 57: The integrated circuit devices mounts only a first device (18 as shown in Fig. 2), the first device is configured to completely address each of the cells of the sensor array and process signals obtained from the sensing array.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3729

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 41, 47 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hassan et al. in view of Henschen et al. (US PAT. 5,010,233).

Hassan et al. teach all of the limitations as set forth above except electrically connecting contact pads on each sensor support integrated circuit device with the corresponding contact pads on the base. Even though Hassan et al. silent about connecting the integrated circuit device with the base with corresponding pads, it is well known in the art in the manufacturing the integrated circuit device to electrically connect between contact pads on each integrated circuit device with the corresponding contact pads on the base or circuit board. In addition, Henschen et al. teach a process of electrically connecting contact pads (14) on an integrated circuit device (15) with the corresponding contact pads (13) on the as shown in Figs. 2 and 7 in order to connect electrically between contact pads on integrated circuit device with the corresponding contact pads on the circuit board. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a process of fabricating a sensor device of Hassan et al. by electrically connecting contact pads integrated circuit device with the corresponding contact pads on the base as taught by Henschen et al. in order to connect electrically between contact pads on integrated circuit device with the corresponding contact pads on the circuit board.

As per claim 68: Wire bridges (14) are provided and bonded from the integrated circuit devices to the interconnect structure within the base as shown in Fig. 7 of Henschen et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a process of fabricating a sensor device of Hassan et al. by electrically connecting contact pads integrated circuit device with the corresponding contact pads on the base by wire bridges as taught by Henschen et al. in order to connect electrically between spaced contact pads on integrated circuit device with the corresponding contact pads on the circuit board.

5. Claims 43 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hassan et al. in view of Kurakake et al. (US PAT. 4,873,476).

Hassan et al. teach all of the limitations as set forth above except a cable to the interconnect structure within the base. Even though Hassan et al. silent about a cable to the interconnect structure within the base configured to provide power and to transmit the signals, it would be obvious that the arrows as shown in Fig. 2 indicate for providing either power or transmitting the signals between the sensor s and the integrated circuit device or a first device (as per claim 58). In addition, Kurakake et al. teach a process of providing a cable (6) capable of providing power and transmitting the signals from sensors (see col. 1, lines 31-35) in order to optimize to operate the device. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a process of fabricating a sensor device of Hassan et al. by a cable as taught by Kurakake et al. in order to optimize to operate the device.

6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hassan et al. in view of Kurakake et al. and further in view of Henschen et al. (US PAT. 5,010,233).

Hassan et al., modified by Kurakake et al., teach all of the limitations as set forth above except soldering attach pads on the cable to contact pads disposed on the base, the contact pads electrically connected to the sensor array. Henschen et al. teach a process of electrically connecting contact pads (portion to be connected) on the cable (84) with the corresponding contact pads (83) on the base (86) with solder (9) as shown in Fig. 8 in order to connect electrically between the cable and the corresponding contact pads on the circuit board (see col. 10, lines 56-68). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a process of fabricating a sensor device of Hassan et al., modified by Kurakake et al., by electrically connecting between the cable with the sensors as taught by Henschen et al. in order to connect electrically between the cable and the sensors.

7. Claims 50-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hassan et al. in view of Walker et al. (US PAT. 6,049,327).

Hassan et al. teach all of the limitations as set forth above except covering the sensors with covering material. Walker et al. teach a process of making a sensing device including a process of providing an insulating material (48, 54 as shown in Fig. 5) to cover the sensors in order to insulate between the sensors and to protect the sensors (see also col. 7, line 16 to col. 8, line 15). ). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify a

process of fabricating a sensor device of Hassan et al. by covering the sensors with covering material as taught by Walker et al. in order to in order to insulate between the sensors and to protect the sensors.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply the covering material as recited in the claimed invention (as per claims 52-55) because Applicant has not disclosed that the covering material as recited in the claimed invention provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with Walker et al. because the covering material as recited in the claimed invention would perform equally well such as insulating between the sensors in Walker et al. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the covering material of Walker et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claims 52-55.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claims 59-67 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments filed 6/22/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the integrated circuits of the prior art of record are



integral parts of the sensor array, not separate structures from the sensor array.

Examiner traverses the argument that the sensor array (the matrix of pressure detection microsensors) is formed on the base and the integrated circuit devices are formed separately and connected to the sensor array as shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

### ***Conclusion***

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 6:00 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Paul D Kim  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3729